

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,285	12/15/2003	Seiji Miyamoto	2018-804	1799
	7590 10/31/2007 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/734,285	MIYAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian J. Broadhead	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4-26-07</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	цені Арріісаціон			

Application/Control Number: 10/734,285 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is based on the amendment filed 3-6-07, which is hereby entered, in view of the decision to re-open prosecution in response to applicant filing received on 4-16-07.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there are references to the claims in the specification, for example on page 9, but some of the claims are no longer part of the application or have been significantly amended and the discussion in the specification no longer corresponds. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 5. In claim 17, the phrase "so as to be adapted to the AP interface as an interface satisfying the required specification" is unclear. Is what is being adapted the first data or second data? This wouldn't seem to make sense since the data isn't an "interface".

Application/Control Number: 10/734,285 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

6. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the data provided through the PF interface" in line

2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

7. Claim 18 recites the limitation "these data" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

8. Claim 19 recites the limitation "the data format" in line 8. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

9. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the data provided in accordance with the PF

interface" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10. Claim 23 recites the limitation "the inputted result" in line 6. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 17-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-23 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-

272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,285 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian J. Broadhead

Examiner Art Unit 3661

BJB